UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,621	06/19/2006	Youn-Jeong Oh	0144 - P0039A	8511
66837 HYUN JONG F	7590 08/13/201 PARK	0	EXAMINER	
	ites IP Law LLC	LATHAM, SAEEDA MONEE		
265 Bic Drive Suite 106		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Milford, CT 064			,	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/596,621	OH, YOUN-JEC	NG
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Saeeda Latham	1782	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .	•
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was, which is after the expiration of the statutory per	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
Allowance (PTOL-85).			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CED 1 19/d) ic ¢	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		CFK 1.10(a), is \$	·
(o) In the issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has he	or been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 			
after the expiration of the period for reply.	_(with a Certificate of Mailing of Traff	sillission dated), willer is
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	king court review
7. X The reason(s) below:			
The attorney, Hyun Jong Park, was contacted on 8/ Office Action mailed on 2/1/2010.	11/2010 and confirmed that the a	pplicant did not re	eply to the
/Rena L. Dye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be	promptly filed to